1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	OAKLAND DIVISION					
11						
12	IN RE LITHIUM ION BATTERIES ANTITRUST LITIGATION	CASE NO. 13-MD-2420-YGR MDL No. 2420				
13		The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers				
14	This Document Relates to:					
15	ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	ORDER GRANTING (i) DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' AND (ii) INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS'				
16	ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	APPLICATIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF PLAINTIFFS' STEERING				
17		COMMITTEES				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

854674.1 1 13-MD-2420-YGR

1	The Court has reviewed Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Memorandum In Support of			
2	Appointment of Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("PSC"), the objection/supplemental application			
3	thereto, and the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Memorandum In Support of Appointment of PSC.			
4	(Dkt. Nos. 166, 167, 182.) The Court appoints the PSC proposed by respective Co-Lead Counsel			
5	for the Direct and Indirect Purchaser interim classes.			
6	1.	The fi	irms listed below shall constitute the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Steering	
7	Committee in this case:			
8		a.	Steven A. Asher of Weinstein Kitchenoff & Asher LLC;	
9		b.	Jeffrey Gittleman of Barrack, Rodos & Bacine;	
10		c.	Susan G. Kupfer of Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP;	
11		d.	Douglas A. Millen of Freed Kanner London & Millen LLC;	
12		e.	Linda P. Nussbaum of Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.;	
13		f.	Daniel D. Owen of Polsinelli PC; and	
14		g.	Elizabeth C. Pritzker of PRITZKER LAW.	
15	2.	The fi	irms listed below shall constitute the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Steering	
16	Committee in this case.			
17		a.	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll, PLLC;	
18		b.	Cuneo Gilbert & LaDuca, LLP;	
19		c.	Green & Noblin, P.C.;	
20		d.	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd, LLP;	
21		e.	Scott & Scott, LLP;	
22		f.	Straus Boies, LLP; and	
23		g.	Susman & Godfrey, LLP.	
24	3.	The C	Court finds that the proposed PSC adequately addresses the Court's desire for	
25	a formal struc	ture tha	at will promote accountability. The Court further finds that the proposed PSC	
26	will contribute those skills and abilities necessary to ensure the just and efficient conduct of this			
27	case.			
28	4.	Co-Le	ead Counsel for the respective Plaintiffs' group shall supervise the PSC and	

28

1	assign work to members of the PSC as reasonably required by the demands of the case. The PSC		
2	shall not have independent authority to assign work to other firms, and will not be able to do so		
3	without the approval and consent of Co-Lead Counsel. The PSC shall further not be authorized to		
4	convene meetings of counsel without the approval and consent of Co-Lead Counsel.		
5	5. Co-Lead Counsel may assign work to firms not included in the PSC whenever		
6	reasonably required by the demands of the case, so long as they abide by this Court's instruction		
7	to be efficient, avoid duplication of effort, and protect the interests of the class they represent.		
8	6. In that regard, Co-Lead Counsel and the PSC shall at all times adhere to procedure		
9	for civil conduct, professionalism, and efficiency ordered by the Court and required by the Local		
10	Rules of this District and the Order Regarding Code of Professional Conduct. (Docket 214, 210.)		

This order shall apply to all civil actions related, transferred, or coordinated to the

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>July 10, 2013</u>

7.

above-captioned action.

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE